From: Sent: To: Subject:

Wednesday, February 16, 2011 4:17 PM

(b) (6)

To: Pre-publication Review

Attachments:

Article for Review (b) (4)

(b) (6)

I hope you guys have been doing well. I have an article for your office's review. As always, I appreciate your consideration.

The bio will identify me as a former Treasury employee (amongst the other usual things such as (b)(6) ), and will make clear that the article represents only my own personal views, and does not represent the views of any other institution. You will find, as always, that this is heavily footnoted and any relevant information is cited to a public source (from which I clearly draw all my data).

Thank you, and have a great week.

Kindest Regards,



From: To: Date: (b) (6)

Monday, March 14, 2011 3:14:28 PM

To: Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

I hope you are well. I wanted to write to tell you that I am going to have to make some edits to the prior article I sent you several weeks ago, and that you may want to hold off doing anything further with it. The edits should not be too substantial (I will have to delete some sections that were not critical (introduction)), but I will put them in in track changes version and then send them back to you.

Thank you for your patience and assistance, and apologies for this. If you have reviewed this most of what you have done will still be relevant, but I just want you to know there might be some addition that I will send your way.

Gratefully,

(b) (6)

(b) (6) From:

Sent:

Friday, April 01, 2011 10:39 AM

To: Subject:

Re: Update

To: Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

I apologize for the back and forth, but please stop reviewing the article. The editor and I have decided to go in a different direction. Your work will not be wasted, for I will use much of this in a piece I am writing for (b) (4) which I will send once appropriate.

Again, I apologize.

Best,

(b) (6)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6)

Date: Thu, 24 Mar 2011 11:58:45

To: (b) (6) Subj


To: Pre-publication Review


(b) (6)

I am attaching the slightly tweaked article. While sections have been moved around, the only actual additions are the 5 or 6 sentences I have highlighted in yellow. All are cited to public documents.


Thank you, again.

(b) (6)





From: Pre-publication Review From: To: Cc: Pre-publication Review Cc: Treasury Response - April 2016 Pre-Publication Submission (4) Subject: Date: Wednesday, April 27, 2016 7:59:00 PM Attachments: Treasury reviewed your draft document (attached) - latest revision dated April 21, 2016 (b) (4) As written, the Department of the Treasury does not object to the draft's publication. This pre-publication review determination is not an endorsement of the writer's views nor confirmation of the accuracy of the information submitted for review. Thank you for fulfilling your pre-publication review obligation. Please address all correspondence, including emails related to this review, to Pre-publication Review ----Original Message----From: (b) (6) Sent: Friday, April 22, 2016 8:04 AM To: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review Subject: Requesting publication approval Good morning, I am writing to request publication approval for this article, which will be published by my employer and possibly (in the same format) in an industry journal. The article is influenced only by public sources and citations are provided. We are seeking to publish this next week and would kindly request your approval sometime before Wednesday April 27, if possible. I was a Treasury(b) (6) employee(b) (6) Thank you,



From: Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 6:21 PM To: To: Pre-publication Review Subject: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment I wish to do a blog response to a statement in (b) (4) My comment follows immediately; (b) (4) paragraph follows second, for your reference. Because it's a blog response, timeliness is especially important. Thanks (b) (6) (b) (4) Proposed Blog comment: b) (4)

(b) (4)		and the second s	
8			

b) (6) From: Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2013 6:50 PM To: Cc: To: Pre-publication Review Subject: Re: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment No problem. The time frame is (b) (4) . Thanks for the rapid response. An I OK to blog with that added in? Pre-publication Review On Mar 14, 2013, at 5:42 PM, (b) (6) wrote: > We reviewed your attached proposed blog for pre-publication purposes. As written, it contains no sensitive information. However, Treasury offices requested one change in {{double braces}} in the first sentence of the attached document (repeated below) to clarify the timeframe of your involvement: > "Proposed Blog comment: (b) (4) b) (4) > Please contact us if you have any questions. > ----Original Message----> From: (b) (6) > Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 6:21 PM > To:(b)(6) To: Pre-publication Review > Subject: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment > I wish to do a blog response to a statement in (b) (4) My comment follows immediately; the (b) (4) paragraph follows second, for your reference. Because it's a blog response, timeliness is especially important. Thanks (b) (6) >

(b) (4)	 	- The state of the	
> > (b) (4)			

```
From:
                                                             From: Pre-publication Review
   Sent:
                          Friday March 15 2013 7:19 AM
   To:
   Cc:
   Subject:
                         RE: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment
       An I OK to blog with that added in?
  A:
      Yes.
     ---Original Message----
  From: (b) (6)
  Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2013 6:50 PM
  To: (b) (6)
                                                              To: Pre-publication Review
  Subject: Re: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment
  No problem. The time frame is (b) (4) . Thanks for the rapid response. An I OK to blog with
  that added in?
                                          Pre-publication Review
  On Mar 14, 2013, at 5:42 PM, (b) (6)
                                                                      wrote:
b) (6)
  > We reviewed your attached proposed blog for pre-publication purposes. As written, it
  contains no sensitive information. However, Treasury offices requested one change in
  {{double braces}} in the first sentence of the attached document (repeated below) to clarify
  the timeframe of your involvement:
  > "Proposed Blog comment:
  > Please contact us if you have any questions.
    ----Original Message----
  > Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 6:21 PM
  > To:
                                                               To: Pre-publication Review
  > Sub
b) (6)
  > I wish to do a blog response to a statement in (b) (4)
                                                                                        My comment
  follows immediately; the (b) (4)
                                           paragraph follows second, for your reference. Because
  it's a blog response, timeliness is especially important. Thanks (b) (6)
 0) (6)
  >
```

				(b) (4)		 	 	4.4	
> (b) (4)	Proposed	Blog	comment						
į.									
:									
			and the state of t						
(b) (4)									
(b) (4)	W								
				. Western					